

→ As per Section 768-1 (Division 768) of the ITAA 1997, if:

(a) an Australian corporate tax entity receives a foreign equity distribution (i.e. <sup>non-share</sup> dividends) from a foreign company, either directly or indirectly through one or more interposed trusts or partnerships; and

(b) the Australian corporate tax entity holds a participation interest of at least 10% in the foreign company;

Such equity distribution (i.e. <sup>non-share</sup> dividends) is non-assessable non-exempt income for the Australian corporate tax entity.

→ The above mentioned treatment of equity distributions (i.e. <sup>non-share</sup> dividends) can be referred to as a "participation exemption".

→ Thus the key factors which determine the tax treatment are:

- (i) Participation test → i.e. minimum 10% participation
- (ii) Foreign equity distributions on participation

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



interests.

The above two factors are explained in detail as follows:-

(i) Participation test - minimum 10% participation:-

An entity satisfies the participation test in relation to another entity at a time if, at that time, the sum of the following is at least 10%:

- (a) the direct participation interest the entity would have in the other entity if rights on winding-up were disregarded;
- (b) the indirect participation interest the entity would have in the other entity if:
  - ① rights on winding-up were disregarded; and
  - ② Section 960-185<sup>(i.e. indirect participation interest)</sup> only applied to intermediate entities that are not corporate tax entities.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



(ii) Foreign equity distributions on participation interests.

I. In case foreign equity distribution is received directly:

Such distribution is non-assessable non-exempt only if the recipient entity is Australian resident and corporate tax entity, and at the time the distribution is made, such entity satisfies the participation test discussed earlier in (i) in relation to the company that made the distribution. Further, it is to be noted here that such entity should not receive the distribution in capacity of a trustee. ~~or~~ however, it can do so in capacity of a trustee of a public trading trust.

II. In case foreign equity distribution is received through interposed trusts and partnerships:-

Such distribution is non-assessable non-exempt only if the recipient entity is a beneficiary of a trust or partner in the partnership, as

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



Australian resident and a corporate tax entity.  
Further such distribution so made should be  
from the amount of all or part of the net  
income of the trust or partnership, subject  
to certain prescribed conditions.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



→ The Fringe Benefit Tax (FBT) was introduced ~~was~~ as a federal law in year 1986. Such legislation was brought to complement the Australian Income tax legislation.

→ FBT is imposed on employers on the value of certain fringe benefits provided ~~to~~<sup>by</sup> them to their employees or associates of their employees by the Fringe Benefit Tax Assessment Act, 1986 and Fringe Benefit Tax Act 1986.

→ 1) What benefits are covered by FBT?

Fringe benefits are widely defined to include any right (including a right in relation to, or an interest in, real or personal property), privilege, service or facility provided to a present, past or prospective employee or his relatives or other associates in connection with the employment of the employee.

Fringe benefits are divided into 12 categories. The legislation provides rules for calculating

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



the taxable value of the benefits falling into each category and also for certain exemptions specific to each category.

The 12 categories are as follows:-

- (i) motor cars (Car fringe benefits)
- (ii) debt waivers fringe benefits
- (iii) Loan fringe benefits
- (iv) Housing fringe benefits
- (v) expense payment fringe benefits
- (vi) living-away-from-home allowance fringe benefits
- (vii) board fringe benefits
- (viii) Airline transport fringe benefits
- (ix) Entertainment fringe benefits
- (x) Car parking fringe benefits
- (xi) property fringe benefits
- (xii) residual fringe benefits (i.e. fringe benefits which do not fall within (i) to (xi) above)

2) Who is liable to pay FBT?

As discussed earlier, an employee is liable to pay FBT on the value of certain fringe

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



benefits provided by them to their employees or associates of their employees.

3) When is FBT payable, and at what rate?

→ The rate of FBT is 49% from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2015 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017. In this rate of 49%, temporary Budget Repair Levy and Medicare Levy have been accommodated.

→ Where the employer's FBT liability is AUD 3,000 or more in the previous <sup>year</sup> tax, FBT is payable in advance by quarterly installments due on 21 July, 21 October, 21 January and 21 April, otherwise an annual payment is required. The FBT installments are required to be notified in an employer's quarterly business activity statement with any final payment due upon lodgement of final employer's annual FBT return.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



4.) Is FBT deductible for income tax purposes?

Yes. <sup>fringe benefit amount</sup> FBT is deductible for income tax purposes after grossing-up. The tax element per-se may not be deductible, except subject to conditions.

5.) How can FBT be avoided or minimised, and are there ways to stop such practices?

→ FBT, to an extent, becomes unavoidable, but it may certainly be minimised. In saying this, it needs to be understood that FBT is a tax on fringe benefits. Such benefits are given to one's employees for various works performed by him, whether directly relatable or indirectly attributable, ~~to~~ ~~for~~ his employee. These are various benefits which motivate an employee and increase his loyalty as well as productivity towards his employer. While an employee is

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY





entrusted with the responsibility to pay tax on such fringe benefit provided, the legislature is clear in legislating that such benefit may be a prevalent practice and acceptable and may be provided to one's employees for their sweat and dedication towards the employees. Thus, it may be concluded that FBT cannot be avoided completely but can be minimised, and such a practice may not be completely stopped. However, such benefits are a complete discretion of the employer who may choose to not provide such benefits at all to the employees.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



→

1.) Points of difference between FRS' withholding tax rules and Australian withholding tax rules.

→ In case of FRS, withholding tax is payable in respect of all prescribed payments (i.e. interest, dividend, royalty and rent) to a person or entity resident outside the FRS. Further, the withholding tax rate is 20% in all cases unless payment is an amount for which deduction is not and cannot be claimed in the FRS, in which case the rate of withholding tax is 15%.

In <sup>contradiction</sup> ~~connection~~ with the above, <sup>as per Australian</sup> ~~the rate~~ withholding tax rules, withholding tax is payable only in respect of interest, dividends and royalties paid to the foreign resident entity or individual. No specific withholding provisions apply to rental or leasing income (except where income is deemed to be royalty) further, also the withholding tax rates differ. The rates of withholding tax on interest, dividend

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



and loyalty are 10%, 30% and 30% respectively. Further, on those dividends which are franked, no withholding taxes are applicable. Thus, in effect, withholding taxes are only applicable to unfranked dividends.

Further, as per FRS' withholding tax rules, withholding tax is final tax, however as per Australian withholding tax rules, such liability is not final. It is only a prescribed withholding tax rate that is applicable on certain nature of payments. Such incomes received by the foreign resident persons shall be includible in their assessable income and tax shall be calculated based on income tax rates prescribed under the Australian Income tax law or relevant Double Taxation Avoidance Agreement (tax treaty) (if signed with Australia), whichever is beneficial. Thus, withholding tax rates being from domestic tax law, DTA's need to be referred to derive the final tax.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



Further, in case of FRS, base on which withholding taxes are applied is the gross value of payments made with no reductions. In case of Australia, only that part of foreign resident person's income which is chargeable to tax in Australia as per the Australian tax legislation is charged to withholding taxes at domestic tax rates or DTAA rates, whichever is beneficial. Further, the fact that payment is not deductible or no deduction is claimed for such an amount shall not affect the Australian withholding tax liability.

2.) As discussed above, the various deficiencies in FRS rules that are dealt with in Australian rules is that it is not a final tax <sup>(except dividends)</sup> and such sums are only to be added to the foreign resident's assessable income and final tax liability will be determined upon <sup>its</sup> furnishing of tax returns. Withholding tax liability is a first hand deduction of taxes with further tax liability to be crystallised

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



only upon assessment of total incomes during the tax year. Such assessment may also be a refund situation for the foreign tax payer.

Further, as in the FRS tax rules, withholding tax rates are dependent upon whether the payment is deductible / deducted in hands of payer. Lower withholding tax rate of 15% is applicable to such a case vis-a-vis a 20% rate in case of "deductibility" issues. In case of Australia, dividends (unfranked) and royalties are taxed at a higher rate of 30% whereas interest is taxed at 10%. Such rates are further not final as beneficial rates under the DTAA (wherever applicable) shall be referred to and applied. \$

Further, withholding tax in FRS is applied to the gross payment made from FRS with no reductions. In case of Australia, such deficiency is met by taxing certain incomes such as dividends only on the unfranked

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



portion i.e. only on portion of such dividends which are declared out of incomes or which no corporate taxes have been paid by the payer company. Further, even such dividends which are unfranked may be considered as non assessable non exempt which are declared from conduit foreign income. Further, Australia exempts certain dividends from withholding tax such as dividends paid by pooled development funds (PDFs), etc.

3.) The only deficiency that can be noticed in Australian withholding tax rules which is dealt with by FRS rules is that rate of withholding is same for all cases, thus reducing the burden of characterisations of several incomes as to constituting either a dividend, interest or a royalty. Such flat rate of rate is an administrative convenience for a country thereby reducing probable litigations. Further FRS is also taxing rental incomes which Australia usually does not as Australia

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



generally characterises rental income as a deemed royalty and taxes it at 30%.

This deficiency is dealt with by FRs by taxing such rental incomes like any other income at 20%. So further, 30% tax rate is quite on a high side considering that the corporate tax rate is 30%, and small taxpayers will need to file returns and claim offsets, and thus leading to a time-consuming and expensive exercise.

20% rate is an averaged tax rate which may be not so burdensome for the individual tax payers especially.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



→ It can be found that BGE Pty Ltd. (BGE) is an ~~Australian~~ Australian <sup>resident</sup> company. It has paid fully franked dividend of \$70,000 to each of its five equal shareholders.

→ As per the Australian withholding tax rules, BGE is not obligated to withhold any taxes on the franked dividend paid to its <sup>foreign</sup> shareholders.

→ Taxability of the five shareholders:

a) Ms Rebecca Johnson is a resident of Australia. As mentioned earlier, Australia works on an imputation system whereby dividends are included in shareholder's assessable income and the tax payable by a company on profit out of which dividend are paid is fully creditable against the income tax liability of resident shareholders. As per fact, Ms. Rebecca's only incomes <sup>are</sup> the dividend <sup>from BGE</sup> and Age old Pension. Thus,

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY





Age Pension is non-assessable non exempt income. Further, <sup>only franked</sup> dividend shall be includible in the taxable income of Mrs Rebecca. Further, franking dividend gross up shall be includible too which shall subsequently be claimed as ~~the~~ imputation credits <sup>from</sup> total tax liability.

b) Mrs Tammy Fernandez is also an Australian resident. No withholding tax shall be charged on the franked dividend received by Mrs Ms Tammy. Further, such dividend shall be includible in the assessable income of Ms. Tammy together with its other income in excess of \$2 million in the tax year. Further, franking dividend gross-up shall also be added to the assessable income which shall subsequently be claimed as an imputation credit from total tax payable.

c) Growth Co Pty Ltd. is an Australian resident company. No withholding tax shall be levied

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



On the franked dividend paid to ~~Mr. Tamn~~ <sup>Growth</sup> Growth Co Pty Ltd. However, such dividend shall be includible in the assessable income of Growth Co Pty Ltd. together with its other income in the tax year. Further, Growth Co Pty Ltd. shall be liable to add a franking dividend gross up to its assessable income which shall subsequently be reduced as imputation credit from the tax liability. The net assessable income shall be taxed at rate of 30% in hands of Growth Co Pty Ltd.

d.) Jack Law is a resident of USA. Thus, he is a non-resident for Australian tax purposes. A non-resident is liable to tax on all dividends paid out of profits derived from sources in Australia. However, no withholding tax shall be levied on franked dividend paid to Jack Law. Further, since the dividends are franked, <sup>the dividends</sup> it would not suffer any ordinary tax and shall

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



be exempt in hands of Jack Law.

e) XYZ Superannuation fund is a complying Australian superannuation fund. Thus, ~~as~~ it is a resident<sup>in</sup> Australia for tax purposes. No withholding tax shall be levied on XYZ ~~the~~ franked dividends derived by resident XYZ. Further, as per Section 11-5 of ITAA 1997, a super-annuation fund resident in Australia shall be exempt from all taxes-whether on ordinary or statutory income. Thus, such dividends shall not be assessable in hands of XYZ.

→ Further, after close of 2015 year of income, BGE realises that it had over-franked the dividend paid to the extent of 20%. The ramifications of this error can be that there shall be a debit entry to be passed in the imputation credit account maintained

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



QUESTION NUMBER

2

by the BGE. No ramifications, however, can be traced to the shareholders of BGE for the error.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



6407

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

→

- 1.) As per the deductibility rules in the Australian tax legislation, interest paid by Harold is a permissible deduction. It is assumed that the Surrey Hills property is a property which is to be used for an income producing activity. Thus, any borrowing costs to the extent such borrowings are used for purpose of generating assessable income is deductible. (Section 25-25). In terms of the concerns of Harold because the security provided is in form of his residence and fact that interest will exceed the rent for atleast few years cannot be a reason to not grant deduction for interest expense. However, such property shall be used only for an income producing activity. In fact, as per Sec 25-30, even expenses of discharge of mortgage is deductible.
- 2.) In case roof repairs are carried out on the property, it may be argued that such repairs may increase the economic life

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY

of the asset or atleast make the asset usable. Thus, it may be argued that such expenses are capital in nature. Thus, it may be added to cost of asset and not deductible from assessable income of Harold.

3) A gas heater is a capital good. Conversely, such a heater is not directly related to income producing asset which in this case is Surrey Hills property. Thus, such expense, which can be of a non-business or leisure nature, may be argued to be not deductible.

4) For tax purposes, the purchase date for Surrey Hills property is when contract was entered into for purchase of this property i.e. 1 May 2014. Settlement of purchase contract is irrelevant.

Further, Harold was to sell the property by exchange of contract in early 2016

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY



but delayed settlement in late 2016. In this case, the date on which <sup>Sale</sup> contract was executed and entered into shall be the sale date for tax purposes. The rationale behind determination of date of purchase and sale is transfer of title and consequent risks associated with the property. In both cases, the title is deemed to pass on execution of agreements and not <sup>actual</sup> settlement thereof.

- 5) Harold will be liable to pay GST at 10% on sale of property. He will be entitled to claim input credit for all taxable & input he purchased / costs incurred for such property. However, sale of residential property is not subject to GST, which in current case is not assumed to be the case.

FOR EXAMINER  
USE ONLY

